



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

mL

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/528,529	03/18/2005	Raymond P. Vander Veen	555255012832	8332

24325 7590 12/04/2006

STEPHEN D. SCANLON
JONES DAY
901 LAKESIDE AVENUE
CLEVELAND, OH 44114

EXAMINER

HUYNH, NAM TRUNG

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

2617

DATE MAILED: 12/04/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/528,529	Applicant(s) VANDER VEEN ET AL.	
	Examiner Nam Huynh	Art Unit 2617	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 18 July 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☒ Claim(s) 21-26 is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 16-20, 27, 28 and 30 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) 15 and 29 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|--|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413) |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 3) <input type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date _____ | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

This office action is in response to amendment filed on 7/18/2006. Of the original claims 1-20, claims 5-9, 14, 16, and 18 have been amended. Claims 21-30 have been added.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

2. Claims 1-14, 16-20, 27, 28, and 30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Padawer et al. (US 7,046,994).

A. Regarding claims 1-4, 6, 16, 17, 19, 20, and 27, Padawer et al. discloses a system and method for associating a contact with a call ID (title). In the scope of the invention, a call match ID system obtains the telephone number (identifying a network identifier) that is obtained from an incoming (receiving a communication from a communication network) or outgoing call (column 1, lines 45-56). A call match engine then queries a contact information database to determine if any contacts include the call ID associated with the calling phone number (comparing the network identifier with contact information) (column 4, lines 36-37). If there is no match, then the telephone

Art Unit: 2617

number is displayed (displaying the network identifier) as received by the call ID (column 7, lines 36-37). If a match is found, then a name associated with the telephone number received by the caller ID is displayed (displaying a name field) (figure 6). When two matches occur, the display may show the two matched contacts in a call-related information area or display of the mobile device (column 7, lines 14-16). This display is capable of showing, in addition to the name and number of the matched contact, a company name, thumbnail picture, and a type for the phone number and column 1, lines 64-67). In an instance when more than one match occurs for a particular phone number and two contact records comprise the same value for a company name, the invention of Padawer et al. performs the same function as set forth in the claim of "displaying a field that is common to the identified contact records", since both records will appear with a common company name.

B. Regarding claims 5, 7, 18, and 28, Padawer et al. shows the display of a first and last name and a work field indicator (figure 6).

C. Regarding claims 8-9, the limitations are rejected as applied to claim 1 and 7.

D. Regarding claim 10, in the case where multiple matches occur for a telephone number as stated in regards to claim 1, in an instance when more than one match occurs for a particular phone number and two contact records comprise the same value for a last name, the invention of Padawer et al. performs the same function as set forth in the claim of "displaying a field that is common to the identified contact records", since both records will appear with a common last name.

Art Unit: 2617

E. Regarding claims 11-13 and 30, the invention of Padawer et al. is directed to a mobile computing device (column 2, line 50).

F. Regarding claim 14, Padawer et al. discloses that a sufficient match that is considered to be a candidate contact has a match that is 7 out of 10 numbers (column 5, lines 42-51).

Allowable Subject Matter

3. Claims 29 and 15 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

4. Claims 21-26 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-30 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Nam Huynh whose telephone number is 571-272-5970. The examiner can normally be reached on 8 a.m.-5 p.m..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, George Eng can be reached on 571-272-7495. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Art Unit: 2617

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

NTH
11/27/06


GEORGE ENG
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER